

## Ideals and Varieties

Thang Pang Ern

Briefly speaking, linear algebra studies solutions to systems of linear equations; algebraic geometry studies solutions of polynomial equations. If you are interested in algebraic geometry after reading this, I strongly recommend the book *Beginning in Algebraic Geometry* by Emily Clader and Dustin Ross.

In this article, we fix a field  $k$  (take for example the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  or the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ ). It is interesting that the notation  $k$  to denote a field actually comes from the German word *körper*. A linear subspace  $W \subseteq k^n$  can be described in two equivalent ways. Geometrically, it is a subset closed under addition and scalar multiplication; algebraically, it is the common zero set of a collection of linear functionals, which is written as follows:

$$W = \{\mathbf{x} \in k^n : \ell_1(\mathbf{x}) = \cdots = \ell_m(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$$

Let<sup>1</sup>

$$W^\perp = \{\ell \in (k^n)^* : \ell(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in W\} \quad \text{denote the orthogonal complement of } W.$$

Then,

$$W = \{\mathbf{x} : \ell(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ for all } \ell \in W^\perp\}.$$

This duality between subspaces and linear equations is the prototype of algebraic geometry. As an example to the above, let  $k = \mathbb{R}$  denote the field of real numbers and we consider the linear subspace

$$W = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x + y + z = 0\}.$$

Geometrically.  $W$  is a two-dimensional plane through the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . It is closed under addition and scalar multiplication so it is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Define the linear functional

$$\ell : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{where} \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto x + y + z.$$

The collection of linear functionals consists of a single functional  $\ell$ . So,  $W = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x + y + z = 0\}$ . We then compute the orthogonal complement  $W^\perp$ , which is

$$W^\perp = \{\phi \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^* : \phi(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in W\}.$$

Note that  $\phi$  denotes the set of linear transformations from  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , which is of the form  $\phi(x, y, z) = ax + by + cz$ , where  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . The condition  $\phi \in W^\perp$  means that we are finding all  $\phi$  such that  $x + y + z = 0$ . We choose two linearly independent vectors  $(1, -1, 0)$  and  $(1, 0, -1)$  in  $W$  to obtain  $a - b = 0$  and  $a - c = 0$ , so  $a = b = c$ . As such,  $W^\perp = \text{span}\{(1, 1, 1)\}$ .

We can replace linear functions by polynomials. For any field  $k$ , let  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  denote the ring of polynomial functions on  $k^n$  (will be covered in MA3201 Algebra II). A polynomial  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a non-linear analogue of a linear function. Instead of hyperplanes (think of this intuitively as planes in  $\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R}^5, \dots$  as an extension of planes in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  when studying systems of linear equations), we get what is known as algebraic varieties. These are

$$V(f) = \{\mathbf{x} \in k^n : f(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>For any vector space  $V$  over a field  $k$ , recall that the dual space  $V^*$  is defined to be  $\text{Hom}_k(V, k)$ , the set of homomorphisms from  $V$  to  $k$  over  $k$ . In this context,  $(k^n)^*$  denotes the set of linear transformations  $k^n \rightarrow k$ .

More generally, for a set of polynomials  $S \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , define

$$V(S) = \{\mathbf{x} \in k^n : f(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in S\}.$$

These sets are the basic objects of algebraic geometry. For example, let

$$f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1 \in k[x, y, z].$$

Then,

$$V(f) = \{(x, y, z) \in k^3 : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\}.$$

If  $k = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $V(f)$  denotes the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

When we write down several polynomial equations at once, it is convenient to package them into an algebraic object. This is where the notion of an *ideal* is useful. An ideal  $I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a subset satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) If  $f, g \in I$ , then  $f + g \in I$ .
- (ii) If  $f \in I$  and  $h \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then  $hf \in I$ .

Condition (ii) is the key difference between an ideal and a vector subspace: for a vector subspace  $W \subseteq V$ , we only require closure under scalar multiplication in  $k$ , whereas for an ideal, we require closure under multiplication by any polynomial.

Given a set of polynomials  $S \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , the ideal generated by  $S$  is

$$\langle S \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m h_i f_i : m \geq 1, f_i \in S, h_i \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \right\}.$$

For example, the ideal  $\langle x, y \rangle \subseteq k[x, y]$  consists of all polynomials with zero constant term or equivalently, those polynomials that vanish at  $(0, 0)$ . To see why,

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \{h_1 x + h_2 y : m \geq 1, h_i \in k[x, y]\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i x + b_i y) : m \geq 1, a_i, b_i \in k[x, y] \right\}.$$

Conversely, if we start with a subset  $X \subseteq k^n$ , we may consider all polynomials that vanish on  $X$ . For any  $X \subseteq k^n$ , define the vanishing ideal

$$I(X) = \{f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] : f(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in X\}.$$

It is easy to check that  $I(X)$  is an ideal because sums of polynomials that vanish on  $X$  still vanish on  $X$ , and multiplying by any polynomial still gives a polynomial that vanishes on  $X$ . Similarly, given an ideal  $I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , we define its zero set to be

$$V(I) = \{\mathbf{x} \in k^n : f(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in I\}.$$

This generalises the earlier definition  $V(S)$ , because  $V(S) = V(\langle S \rangle)$ .

For example, we can consider a parabola as a variety. Let  $k = \mathbb{R}$  and consider the polynomial  $f(x, y) = y - x^2 \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ . Then,

$$V(f) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y = x^2\}$$

which is the usual parabola. The ideal generated by  $f$  is  $\langle y - x^2 \rangle$ , and  $V(\langle y - x^2 \rangle) = V(f)$ . If we take  $X = V(f)$  and form  $I(X)$ , then certainly  $y - x^2 \in I(X)$ . In fact, over an algebraically closed

field  $k$  such as the set of complex numbers<sup>2</sup>  $\mathbb{C}$ , one can say that  $I(V(I))$  is the *radical* of  $I$ , denoted by  $\sqrt{I}$ . This nice correspondence is known as *Hilbert's Nullstellensatz* (German for ‘theorem of zeros’ or ‘zero locus theorem’), which was proven by David Hilbert in 1893 and it became a foundational result in algebraic geometry. We will discuss the nullstellensatz shortly.

At this juncture, one should observe that the maps  $X \mapsto I(X)$  and  $I \mapsto V(I)$  reverse inclusions. That is to say,

- (i) If  $X \subseteq Y$ , then  $I(Y) \subseteq I(X)$
- (ii) If  $I \subseteq J$ , then  $V(J) \subseteq V(I)$

These results should be intuitive — if  $X \subseteq Y$ , then  $I(Y) \subseteq I(X)$  means that more points implies more vanishing conditions. To see why, take some  $f \in I(Y)$ . Then,  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in Y$ . Since this holds for all  $\mathbf{x} \in Y$ , then it must hold for all  $\mathbf{x} \in X$  since  $X \subseteq Y$ , so  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in X$ . This shows that the reverse inclusion holds for ideals. As for varieties, having more equations implies fewer solutions. To see why, take  $\mathbf{x} \in V(J)$ . Then,  $\mathbf{x} \in k^n$  such that  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  for all  $f \in J$ . Again, this holds for all  $f \in I$ , so the result follows.

We now formalise the notion of a radical ideal, which appeared implicitly in the discussion above. We say that an ideal  $I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is radical if whenever  $f^m \in I$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we already have  $f \in I$ . Equivalently, we define the radical of an ideal to be

$$\sqrt{I} = \left\{ f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] : f^m \in I \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\}.$$

Geometrically, taking radicals corresponds to ignoring multiplicities of solutions. For example, the ideals  $\langle x \rangle$  and  $\langle x^2 \rangle$  define the same zero set in  $k$  (which is  $\{0\}$ ) even though algebraically they are different ideals.

We now state one of the central theorems in algebraic geometry, known as the weak form of Hilbert’s nullstellensatz. It states that if  $k$  is an algebraically closed field and  $I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is an ideal, then

$$I(V(I)) = \sqrt{I}.$$

This is what the weak nullstellensatz means in words. Say we start with an ideal  $I$ , pass it to its zero set  $V(I)$ , and then take all polynomials that vanish on this zero set. Then, we recover the radical of  $I$ . This theorem explains why radicals are exactly the ideals that arise as vanishing ideals of algebraic sets.

We now revisit the parabola example to see this theorem in action. Consider  $I = \langle y - x^2 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ . The variety  $V(I)$  consists of all points  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  such that  $y = x^2$ . If a polynomial  $g(x, y)$  vanishes on all such points, then the nullstellensatz guarantees that  $g \in \sqrt{\langle y - x^2 \rangle}$ . Since  $\langle y - x^2 \rangle$  is already radical, we conclude that  $I(V(I)) = \langle y - x^2 \rangle$ . More explicitly,

$$I(V(I)) = \{ f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y] : f(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in V(I) \}$$

which is equal to  $\langle y - x^2 \rangle$ . Thus, the parabola is encoded algebraically by a single irreducible polynomial.

This example highlights an important principle: algebraic geometry studies *geometric objects via their coordinate rings*. To every variety  $X \subseteq k^n$ , we associate the quotient ring

$$k[X] = k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / I(X)$$

---

<sup>2</sup> $\mathbb{C}$  is the most common example of an algebraically closed field. Another example is  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ , the algebraic closure of the finite field of  $p$  elements, which can be regarded as the union of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ .

called the coordinate ring of  $X$ . Geometric properties of  $X$  are reflected in algebraic properties of  $k[X]$ . The correspondence between ideals and varieties provides a powerful dictionary translating geometry into algebra and vice versa. The nullstellensatz formalises this relationship and justifies why algebraic geometry can be studied through commutative algebra.